Results from impact evaluations of IRS and PBO ITNs: Ethiopia and Sierra Leone

Emily Hilton 15th April 2024





Context

- With an increasing number of vector control tools available for use alone or in combination, national malaria programs (NMPs) need timely, local evidence to guide vector control product choice and deployment decisions.
- With support from PMI Evolve, NMPs in Ethiopia and Sierra Leone conducted retrospective impact evaluations to understand the relative impact of different combinations of vector control interventions on epidemiological and entomological outcomes.
 - Ethiopia: PBO ITNs alone vs. Standard Pyrethroid ITNs + pirimiphosmethyl-based IRS
 - **Sierra Leone:** PBO ITNs alone **vs.** PBO ITNs + clothianidin-based IRS

ETHIOPIA | Study Design



© 2024 Mapbox © OpenStreetMap

Kebeles assigned to intervention arms using an open-label, stratified blockcluster randomized trial approach.



	Epidemiological outcomes	Entomological outcomes	
Primary outcom e	Routinely-reported confirmed malaria cases (all-ages)	Vector density per trap; indoor resting density	
Study period	Sept-Dec, 2019-2022	Sept-Dec, 2021-2022	
Modelin g approac h	Negative binomial mixed effects models	Negative binomial mixed effects models	
Control variableIntervention arm, time since intervention, precipitation, enhanced vegetation index (EVI), temperature		Intervention arm, time since intervention, precipitation, EVI, temperature	

ETHIOPIA | Results

Epidemiological Outcomes



Fotal number

Overall pre- vs. post-	Overall pre- vs. post-		
intervention	intervention		
	\$\$\$5.9%\$*		
(-72.9%, -29.8%)	(-73.0%, -32.5%)		
Year 2 vs. Year 1 post-	Year 2 vs. Year 1 post-		
intervention	intervention		

No significant differences between intervention arms.

PBO ITN only

IRS + Standard Pyrethroid ITN

*Statistically significant

Entomological Outcomes



Significantly greater decrease in the IRS + Standard ITN arm

room/day

mosquitoes/r

estimated

Average # of

Post.

Significantly greater decrease in the IRS + Standard ITN arm

SIERRA LEONE | Study Design



	Epidemiological outcomes	Entomological outcomes	
Primary outcom e	Routinely-reported all-ages confirmed malaria cases	Human biting rate; indoor resting density	
Study period	May 2017 – Apr 2023	Jul 2020 – Apr 2021 ; Jul 2022 – Apr 2022	
Modelin g approac h	Negative binomial mixed effects models	Negative binomial mixed effects models	
Control variable s	Intervention arm, time since intervention, non- malaria outpatient attendance, community health worker (CHW)	Intervention arm, time since intervention, precipitation, EVI, temperature, transmission season, collection location (HBR only)	

IRS & PBO ITNs PBO ITNs Only

SIERRA LEONE | Results

Epidemiological outcomes

		Overall Post-Intervention Changes Compared to Baseline		Year-to-Year Annual Changes	
		Year 1 post-ITN/ Pre-IRS	Years 2-3 post-ITN/ Years 1-2 post-IRS	Year 2 post- ITN/ Year 1 post-IRS	Year 3 post-ITN/ Year 2 post-IRS
% Change (95% CI)	PBO ITNs only	-32.0% * (-33.2%, -30.0%)	-40.2% * (-41.1%, -39.3%)	- 38.5% * (-39.6%, 37.3%)	-41.9% * (-43.0%, -40.8%)
	IRS + PBO ITNs	-28.4% * (-29.6%, -27.2%)	-39.3% * (-40.1%, -38.4%)	- 35.3% * (36.4%, 34.1%)	-43.4% * (-44.5%, -42.4%)
Interpr- etation		Small but greater reduction in PBO ITN only areas (Pre-IRS)	<i>No difference</i> in change between intervention arms	Small but greater reduction in PBO ITN only areas	Small but greater reduction in co- deployment areas

Entomological outcomes

Comparing Year 1 post-ITN/Pre-IRS versus Year 2 post-ITN/Year 1 post-IRS

Indoor resting density: *No significant difference* in reductions between intervention arms (IRR: 0.95, 95% CI: 0.75-1.21)

Indoor resting density



* Statistically significant

Human biting rate: 10% greater reduction in IRS + PBO ITN areas compared to PBO ITN only areas (IRR: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.82-0.99)



Human biting rate

Discussion and Limitations

Key Findings

- □ Both intervention arms were associated with **reduced malaria cases overall** in Ethiopia, although the **effect of PBO ITNs appeared to wane in the second year post-distribution**.
- There was no significant overall difference in impact between deploying PBO ITNs alone compared to alongside annual IRS in Sierra Leone.
 - Greater declines in relative impact in the PBO ITN only arm in the 3rd year post-ITN distribution suggest co-deployment with IRS may sustain vector control impact as ITN durability declines.

Using routine data to estimate intervention impact

- Bias in malaria incidence estimates based on routine data can arise from changes in careseeking, differences in access to parasitological diagnosis, and incomplete registration of patients. However, these biases would not be expected to vary in association with the interventions of interest.
- Routine data are an important source of longitudinal data and can effectively be used to evaluate the impact of new vector control tools.

Thank you to our partners and collaborators

Ethiopia

Malaria and Other Vector-Borne Diseases Prevention and Control Desk Amhara Public Health Institute Bahir Dar University Jimma University PMI PMI Evolve Project

Kelly M. Davis, Amha Worku, Meshesha Balkew, Peter Mumba, Sheleme Chibsa, Jon Eric Tongren, Gudissa Assefa, Achamyelesh Sisay, Dawit Teshome, Banchamlak Tegegne, Mastewal Worku, Mulat Yimer, Delenasaw Yewhalaw, Isabel Swamidoss, Melissa Yoshimizu, Sarah Zohdy, Jimee Hwang, Wendy Inouye, Aklilu Seyoum, Cecilia Flatley, Emily R. Hilton, Dereje Dengela, Sarah M. Burnett

Sierra Leone

National Malaria Control Program PMI PMI Evolve Project

Natalie C. Galles, Frederick Yamba, Musa Sillah-Kanu, Kevin Opondo, Yemane Yihdego, Evelyne Alyko, David Schnabel, Rebecca Levine, Jenny Carlson Donnelly, Celeste Carr, Kristen George, Tony Hughes, Isabel Swamidoss, Ramlat Jose, Laurent Iyikirenga, Djenam Jacob, Samuel Juana Smith, Ronald Carshon-Marsh, Mac-Abdul Falama, Sarah Burnett





